



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/472,688	12/27/1999	Richard A. Shimkets Ph.D	15966-534C-CIP1	9084
30623 75	590 03/17/2004	EXAMINER		
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY			MORAN, MARJORIE A	
AND POPEO, ONE FINANC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02111			1631	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/17/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	٠
3	
6)

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
09/472,688	SHIMKETS PH.D ET AL	SHIMKETS PH.D ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Marjorie A. Moran	1631		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

If theIf NOFailurAny re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status	
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12/15/03</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition	on of Claims
-	Claim(s) <u>1-6,14,46-51,54 and 55</u> is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) <u>1-6,14,46-51,54 and 55</u> is/are rejected.
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application	on Papers
9)[] ⁻	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* 9	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
O	to the attached actained emice action for a field of the destained depice methods.
Attachment	·
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other: ____

Application/Control Number: 09/472,688

Art Unit: 1631

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. All objections and rejections not reiterated below are hereby withdrawn. Claims 1-6, 14, 46-51, and 54-55 are pending.

Double Patenting

Applicant is advised that should claim 14 be found allowable, claim 46 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101/112

Claims 1-6, 14, 46-51, and 54-55 are again rejected, as previously set forth in the Office Action of 8/13/03, under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility due to its not being supported by a specific, substantial, and credible utility or, in the alternative, a well-established utility.

Applicant's arguments filed 12/15/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to the argument that methods of forensic testing using DNA have utility, it is noted that the instant claims are not directed to methods of use, but are directed to particular structures/sequences. It is noted that applicant admits on page 5 of the response that one can not know if a SNP is present until testing is performed, but argues that such testing "is not experimentation". It is acknowledged that routine PCR and DNA sequencing techniques are not normally considered "undue experimentation." However, as noted above, the claims do

Application/Control Number: 09/472,688

Art Unit: 1631

not recite a method of testing or sequencing. The claims are directed to a product, wherein determination of the utility of the product would require undue experimentation. Applicant argues that as SEQ ID NO: 509 is a "specific" human polymorphic sequence, it is an appropriate "tool" for use in forensics. In response it is noted that ANY sequence comprising a SNP (or any larger mutation, insertion or deletion) may be thus identified as a "forensic tool". Since any mutation, including SNP's may be a "forensic tool" using this definition, this "use" is not a specific, substantial, and credible utility for SEQ ID NO: 509.

For the reasons previously set forth and set forth above, the examiner maintains that the claims do not have a specific, substantial, and credible utility, or a well-established utility.

Claims 1-6, 14, 46-51, and 54-55 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by a specific, substantial, and credible utility or a well-established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art would not know how to use the claimed invention. A the examiner utility rejection, above, is maintained, the examiner maintains that one skilled in the art would not know how to use the claimed sequences.

Conclusion

Claims 1-6, 14, 46-51, and 54-55 are rejected.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/472,688

Art Unit: 1631

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marjorie A. Moran whose telephone number is (571) 272-0720. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Wed, 7:30-4; Thurs 7:30-6; Fri 7-1 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571)272-0722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marjorie A. Moran Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1631 Jayou a. V. Jacan allo 1004

mam